

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,383	08/14/2003	Marc Mathews	2606	
759	0 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Marc Mathews			LAYNO, BENJAMIN	
PO Box 10165 Zephyr Cove, N	W 80448		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Zephyr Cove, N	V 07440		3712	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/642,3	83	MATHEWS, MARC	-			
Office Action Summary		Examine	Г	Art Unit				
		Benjamin	H. Layno	3712				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions BX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i period for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for repl ply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutury period will apply and v	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌								
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)⊠ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)⊠ (	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 13-17 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to.							
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)□ 7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv lle 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment	(s)				:			
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stern.

The patent to Stern discloses a gaming display, see page 2, paragraph [0034], for displaying playing cards forming poker hands. The gaming display comprises a plurality of independently and randomly selected symbols, playing cards, disposed in a rectangular positional array of four rows and four columns of windows, Fig. 2. The gaming display further includes four rows of paylines 48-54, four columns of paylines 40-46 and two diagonals of paylines 56, 58. Each payline having four symbols, playing cards. Each symbol, playing card, is comprised of a combination of color (black or red) and alphanumeric character (Ace, 2, 3,......J, Q, K). There are fifty-two playing cards in a conventional deck, thus each window will randomly selectively display one of fifty-two (which is at least thirty-eight) different character symbols.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern as applied to claim 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Sidley.

The patent to Sidley discloses a poker gaming machine wherein the playing cards may be displayed in four differently color suits, col. 19, lines 5-8. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to display Stern's playing cards in four differently colored suits in order to more clearly distinguish the suits.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 13-17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the cited references alone or in combination teach the claimed thirty-eight different character symbols divided into four different colored symbols wherein eighteen symbols are of a first color, eighteen symbols are of a second color, one symbol is of a third color

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

and one symbol is of a fourth color. The patent to Mathews discloses a roulette-type game having four balls. The patents to Bergmann, and Wichinsky disclose gaming machines having roulette wheels. None of the cited references alone or in combination teach the claims gaming apparatus comprising a display screen for displaying the operation of a roulette wheel of the type having four different colored balls, said visual display region including four windows, each window associated with one of said balls on the roulette wheel and displaying a symbol displayed in a slot within which the associated ball falls ant the end of the gaming sequence.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H Layno Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl